

ASSESSMENT 3

Bolitoglossa diminuta

TAXONOMY

Kingdom Phylum Class Order Family

ANIMALIA CHORDATA AMPHIBIA CAUDATA PLETHODONTIDAE

Scientific Name: Bolitoglossa diminuta

Species Authority: Robinson, 1976

Common Name/s:

Synonyms:

Taxonomic Notes:

ASSESSMENT INFORMATION

Red List Category &

VU_{D2}

Criteria:

Justification: Listed as Vulnerable because it is known from only a single location.

GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

Range Description: This species is known only from the type locality, near Quebrada Valverde,

near Tapantí, Cartago Province, Atlantic versant, Costa Rica, at 1,555-1,650 m

asl (Bolanos et al. 1986).

Countries Native:

Costa Rica

POPULATION

Population: Only two specimens plus some egg clutches are known. It has not been

recorded in recent surveys, however it occurs in arboreal vegetation and is

difficult to find.

Population Trend: Stable

HABITAT & ECOLOGY

Habitat & Ecology: It lives in arboreal vegetation (mosses and liverworts) in lower montane

rainforest. It does not occur in degraded habitats. It breeds by direct

development and is not dependent upon water.

Systems: Terrestrial

Habitat codes: 9.1 Forest – Subtropical/tropical moist montane

USE & TRADE

Use & Trade:

THREATS

Major Threats: There are no major threats known.

Threat codes:

CONSERVATION ACTIONS

Conservation Actions: The area where this species was collected is now within the Parque Nacional

Tapantí. There is a need for additional survey work to determine and monitor

the population status of this species.

Research Action 1.2 Research – Population size, distribution & trends

codes: 1.3 Research – Life history & ecology

1.5 Research – Threats

Conservation Needed

codes:

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bolanos, F., Robinson, D.C. and Wake, D.B. 1987. A new species of salamander (genus *Bolitoglossa*) from Costa Rica. *Revista de Biologia Tropical*. 87-92.

ASSESSMENT 3: REVIEW

Evaluate the suitability of this assessment for publication on the Red List. Please place a cross (X) in the appropriate box and provide reasons for your decision

Accept	Reject
Accept without edits	Return assessment to the assessor(s)
	For example, incorrect or missing criteria; documentation
	insufficient to determine validity of the assessment.
Minor edits needed	
For example, assessment seems appropriate, but some documentation issues to be clarified or fixed	
decamendation issues to be signified of fixed	
Please provide a summary of edits needed:	Please provide reasons for rejecting the assessment:
	,
Reviewers – Please provide the names of reviewers re	esponsible for the above decision